

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/996,657	11/29/2001	Charles Raymond Degenhardt	8375D	6277
27752	7590 02/06/2004		EXAMINER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			DESAI, RITA J	
	FUAL PROPERTY DIVIS ILL TECHNICAL CENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			1625	
CINCINNA	TI, OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 02/06/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/996,657	DEGENHARDT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rita J. Desai	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 26 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) cobjected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in a prity documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the claims:-

Claims 17-25.

Elected claims were drawn to compounds and compositions 17-24.

Applicants previously added claim 25 is not in the elected group.

However if the compound claims are found to be allowable then the method of treating drawn to one method limited to the scope of the compounds and if free from 112 issues will be rejoined.

Response to Arguments

New grounds of rejection

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is specifically drawn to the non-elected subject matter. A new abstract drawn to the elected group is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims recite an amide, ester, imide susceptible to being cleaved...

The specifications do not indicate where these are formed or which groups they are!.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,657

Art Unit: 1625

There could be lined anywhere on the molecule! and there is no description as to how they are formed.

If they are on the OH group then it is no longer an OH group!

Also the language "susceptible to being cleaved in vivo" requires undue experimentation. and testing!

Applicants have a reach through claim! The claim reads on compounds not yet made or tested!.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 3524955

The applicants compounds are drawn to compounds as given by the first 2 example on page 11 of the specifications.

Scope and Content of Prior Art MPEP 2141.01

The prior art DE 3524955 teaches the compound as given in the action mailed 2/11/2003.

Difference Between the Prior Art and the Claims MPEP 2141.02

Application/Control Number: 09/996,657

Art Unit: 1625

The prior art compounds have the phenyl rings attached directly to the CH whereas the applicants have an alkyl linker of atleast 2.

Prima Facie Obviousness Rational and Motivation MPEP 2142-2143

The applicants claim R6 to be any aromatic or carbocyclic group substituted or unsubstituted. The only difference is in 2 CH2 linker.

Homologs are not considered to be patentable unless there is a sided by side comparison and a showing of unexpected properties.

Applicants have not shown this.

Applicants can overcome this by limiting x to be 1, and D1 to be C=O and D2 be NH.

Conclusion

The claims are still rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita J. Desai whose telephone number is 571-272-0684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday,9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,657 Page 5

Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rita J. Desai

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

R.D. February 2, 2004